

ADDENDUM NO. 3  
DOWNTOWN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT – PHASE II  
BID NO. 16-01  
Bid Opening: March 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016 at 4:00 PM  
Issued Date: March 16, 2016

The following changes and revisions are made to the Contract Documents

The following questions have been submitted. The original question is submitted for informational purposes and the answers become part of the contract documents in this addendum

1. **Question:** *Bid Item 46- There is no removal of existing SSMH that I can find on the plans. The list on sheets D-1 through D-4 has the SSMH's being reset at FG.*

**Answer:**

- A. See Revised Sheet D-2 (Addendum 3)
- B. Demolition Item #146 was changed on revised Sheet D-2 to "To be Abandoned".
- C. See Revised Bid Schedule for revised bid item description and quantities.

2. **Question:** *Where should the cost for removing and resetting SSCO be included? These are shown as #220 and #292 on sheets D-3 and D-4.*

**Answer:**

- A. Replace Sheets D-2, D-3, D-4, & U1 with Attached Revised Sheets (Addendum 3)
- B. Demolition Item #220 on Sheet D-2 and Demolition Item #292 on Sheet D-3 have been changed to "RESET AT FG";
- C. See Revised Bid Schedule Item #43, "Reset 6" Sanitary Sewer Rodding Inlet, SSCO or Abandon Rodding Inlet" for revised quantities.
- D. Item #142 on Sheet D-2 has been changed to "Abandon Rodding Inlet". See Revised Sheet D-2. See also bid item #49 for "Reset 6" Sanitary Sewer Rodding Inlet, SSCO, or Abandon Rodding Inlet" for revised quantity.
- E. Note 29 has been added to Sheet U-1 "Reset and abandon facilities as noted on Demolition Sheets D-1 through D-4." See revised Sheet U-1.

3. **Question:** *Bid Item 48- This has a quantity of 2 each. Are these laterals 85 and 88 on sheet U-4? If so, shouldn't laterals 90, 96, 97, 98, and 99 also be in this item since it appears they also connect to the existing 12" VCP sewer?*

**Answer:**

- A. On revised Sheet U-1, Utility Construction Items for SS Service Stubs have been separated into deep and shallow (S6 and S8, respectively). See revised Utility Construction Item notes.
- B. On revised Sheet U-2, Lateral Item #57 has been changed from a 4" to a 6" sanitary sewer lateral. Item #59 has been changed to a new lateral stub.

- C. Bid Item #49 has been changed to a 6" Sanitary Sewer Lateral (per item 3b above). The construction of the sanitary sewer stubs for new services (deep and shallow) is now incorporated into Bid Item 47 (shallow) or 48 (deep) as applicable.
- D. The definition of shallow is laterals 7 feet or shallower at the connection point. The definition of deep is below 7 feet at the connection point.

4. **Question:** *Bid Item 45-Unit of measure on proposal form is "EA", should it be "LF"?*

**Answer:** The unit of measure for Bid Item 45 has been changed to LF as indicated in the attached revised bid schedule.

5. **Question:** *Specification 705-5.42- Bid Item 42 appears to be a cut & paste from Bid Item 41. Please correct the scope of work.*

**Answer:** Section 705-5.42, A "Scope of Work" shall be modified as follows:

A. Scope of Work

1. Lower manhole prior to cold planning and/or pavement removal. Cover with metal plate and backfill to surface with cold mix.
2. Within 72 hours of final paving, adjust to grade per plans, specifications and special provisions.

6. **Question:** *Detail 3 on Sheet CD-2 shows dowel lengths of 6'-11"-0". What is the correct size?*

**Answer:** The length of the dowels shall be between 9" inches into each side of the walk, see revised Sheet CD-2.

7. **Question:** *Are the references for Detail 2/CD-1 on Sheet CD-3 meant to be for Detail 3/CD-1?*

**Answer:** Yes. See revised Sheet CD-3.

8. **Question:** *Bid Item 50-There are no 2" valves shown on the plans other than what is associated with the new services. Is this meant to cover the 2" valves for the new services? If so, the quantity appears incorrect.*

**Answer:** Bid Item 50 has been eliminated. These valves are covered in the construction of the applicable services.

9. **Question:** *According to Rinker there is no ASTM C-507 Cl V classification for the 23x14" elliptical RCP, only Cl IV. Since it is backfilled with CLSM, can the specification be changed so it is not a custom product?*

**Answer:** The RCP Class 5 elliptical RCP may be changed to a Class 3 pipe with changing the backfill to a 2,500 psi concrete backfill in lieu of the CLSM to be used with the Class 5. This is considered an "or equal" option.

10. **Question:** *Can some work minor work such as laying conduit without excavating (i.e. irrigation lines and street light conduit) and disrupting pedestrian traffic be done during the day?*

**Answer:** Yes, work can be performed during the day under the following conditions:

1. The work is within the confines of the sidewalk area and does not impede pedestrian access or use (5 foot minimum sidewalk width at all times).
2. Noise is kept to a minimum with no jackhammering, loud equipment, etc.
3. It is anticipated that most of the irrigation and electrical conduit and conductor work will occur during the day.
4. Contractor shall coordinate with affected business owners.

11. Replace the original bid schedule with the attached Bid Schedule (Addendum 3)
12. The west limits of the sidewalk and paving conform at First Street have been clarified on the revised Sheet CG-1, attached, which shall replace the current sheet.
13. The piping for the irrigation system shall be changed to Schedule 40 PVC with Solvent Weld joints. Purple primer and medium bodied cement shall be used to make the connections.

### Attachments

1. Revised Bid Schedule
2. Revised Sheets CG-1, Dated 3-15-16
3. Revised Sheets U-1, Dated 3-15-16
4. Revised Sheets D-1, D-2 & D-3, Dated 3-15-16
5. Revised Sheets CD-2 & CD-3, Dated 3-16-16

All questions regarding this project shall be transmitted in writing to Paul Curren or Kevin Ingram as indicated in the bid documents.

Please indicate receipt of this addendum on the proposal at the location indicated. Failure to do so may cause rejection of your bid.

Approved:



Paul Curren  
City Engineer